USM's Kamla Raheja Vidyanidhi Institute for Architecture & Environmental Studies

IQAC Compilation M. Arch (Post Graduate Course) **URBAN DESIGN**

2017-18



Contents

Overall PO Attainment

Our Vision and Mision

Dean's note for M.Arch

Academic Trajectory

First Year (2017-18)

SEM I

COPO Mapping, CO-PO sSructure

COPO ASSESSMENT

SEM II

COPO Mapping, CO-PO sSructure

COPO ASSESSMENT

Second Year (2017-18)

SEM III

COPO Mapping, CO-PO sSructure

COPO ASSESSMENT

<u>SEM IV</u>

COPO Mapping, CO-PO sSructure

COPO ASSESSMENT

		Overall Attainment
POs		
PO1	To acquire the ability to critically understand the context	2.52
PO2	To be able to recommend real and speculative urban propositions	2.52
PO3	To be able to validate urban interventions with theoretical positions	2.52
PO4	To be able to achieve technical competency for the respective streams	2.52
PU4	Streams	2.52
PO5	To undertake research for production of new knowledge	2.42

Dean's Report

M. Arch (Urban Design) 2017-2018 KRVIA

Analysis of Program Objectives

The Program Objective evolved out of the ongoing research work on communicative action for urban advocacy propositions. The larger intent of communicative action resulted in the formation of the Local Area Plan Studio and the orientation of other theoretical subjects to achieve the vertical arc. The understanding and representation of context with the production of knowledge along with the attainment of required skill sets the tone of the timetable. PO1 to PO4 achieved the required scale of understanding, representing, theorizing while PO5 which refers to the research work based on local area plan is marginally lower in attainment.

There can be a few reasons for this.

- 1. PO1 and PO4 improved due to the maturing of the research project on communicative action as a proposition towards urban advocacy.
- 2. Faculty engaged in the research work also achieved similar trajectories in understanding the pedagogic arc and created better articulated methods.
- 3. The transition from an inclusive approach to a community-based pedagogy also helped in reorienting thesis work.
- 4. The new consolidations of theme and the articulation of the vertical arcs of learning was not very clear in relation to the research work, hence PO5 is marginally lower than PO1-PO4
- 5. The exposure of students to specific research work was limited and would require a more specific orientation to the subject of local area plans.

Corrective Measures

- Methods of understanding context (PO1) required to be focussed on one aspect of urban form learning and in the coming year we would be required to choose context studies based on other aspects of urban form.
- 2. We have to acknowledge that the students come from diverse backgrounds with minimal exposure to contemporary methods and literature dealing with urbanism, hence PO1-PO5 need a systematic approach towards exposing students to various urban approaches and to readings.
- 3. Efforts need to be made in the beginning to acknowledge the differential technical skills (PO5) of the students. Assignments need to be designed in ways that challenge the students to read, write and represent with specific skill sets.
- 4. We have to strengthen the study trip programs in the studios to expose the students to various community led programs and projects within the region and smaller cities.
- PO5 could be strengthened with the help of a systematic learning of keywords and their theoretical implications; demonstrating how these can be made socially impactful with new research work.
- 6. The levels of resolution within thesis projects (PO5) can be strengthened by tightening the relationship between the research, skills and Advanced Technologies and methods.


(A)


PO ATTAINMENT SUMMARY (2017-18)

PO Name	PO Statemen	t		Attainment Value	PO Correc	res			
PO1	To acquire the	ability to critical	ally understand	the context	2.54	Emphasis on mapping the contex through GIS			
PO2	To be able to r	ecommend rea	al and speculati	2.54	More exposure to Case studies				
PO3	To be able to v	/alidate urban i	nterventions wi	th theoretical	2.54	Encourage more reading and writing assignments			
PO4	To be able to respective st	achieve tech reams	nical compete	ency for the	2.54	Give sufficient time for individe and group work			
PO5	To undertake knowledge	research for	production of	new	2.54	difference l	earner aware between data aduction of no	a collection	

PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 1							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (Int	ternal) + Theoi	ry (Exam)					
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Urban Design	History						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC101							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	3	1	2	1			
CO2	3	3	2	2	1			
CO3	3	3	3	2	2			
			CO Att	tainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	тѕ		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	'E MEASURE	:S
CO1	The student sh understanding function.			2.55				
CO2	Understand ho and be able to layering and diversity in tho able to plan an	comprehend tught process r	he multi-	2.40				
CO3	The students shall be able to assess, analyse and critique well-planned or ill-planned historical examples of ancient or modern cities or ideas explored by master planners and							
- 503	utopian ideas.			2.45				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainment	t		2.47		PO5 Attainm	nent		2.46
PO2 Attainment	t		2.47		PO6 Attainm	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment	t		2.45		PO7 Attainment			0.00
PO4 Attainment	1		2.47		PO8 Attainm	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + Theory (Exam) Urban Design History MUDC101 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY INCHARGE Probudho Mukhopadyay Probudho Mukhopadyay TOTAL MARKS 100 CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 The student shall be equipped with a better understanding of how historic cities function. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Understand how cities have been planned and be able to comprehend the multi-layering and diversity in thought process required to be able to plan an urban area. CO2 L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) The students shall be able to assess, analyse and critique well-planned or ill-planned historical examples of ancient or modern cities or ideas explored by master planners and utopian ideas. CO3 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No PO1 PO2 PO8 CO AVERAGE PO3 PO4 PO5 PO6 PO7 2.00 CO1 CO3 2.60 PO AVERAGE 3.00 2.00 1.33 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution The course intends to develop the understanding of historic cities to equip the students to validate theoretical positions.






	DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS											
TOOLS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	TARGET MARKS							
SEE	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	27						
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	30						
		•			•	•						

PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	OOLS							
COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO3 CO4										
INTERNAL MARKS	45	60	55							
SEE	55	40	45							
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100					
COLIDOR EVIT FEEDDACK CLIDVEY	_	_	_	_	_					

WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT
ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %
ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	2	3	-	2.55	2	Yes	
CO2	2	3	-	2.40	2	Yes	
CO3	2	3	-	2.45	2	Yes	




PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 1							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (In	ternal) + Theor	y (Exam)					
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Planning Tech	niques and Pro	ocedure - I					
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC103							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	0	3	0	1			
CO2	3	3	2	2	1			
CO3	2	3	3	3	2			
			CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	TS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	CO CORRECTIVE MEASURES			
CO1			ess of creating	2.10				
CO2	Making studen well as limitation approaches the	ons of different	planning	2.20				
CO3	Ability of stude planning techn particular visio	ique/approach		1.80	Need to intro	oduce more i	n-class exer	cises
DO4 Attainment				PO Attainmen				4.00
PO1 Attainment			2.06		PO5 Attainm			1.98
PO2 Attainment			2.00		PO6 Attainn			0.00
PO3 Attainment			2.01		PO7 Attainn			0.00
PO4 Attainment			1.96		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + Theory (Exam) Planning Techniques and Procedure - I MUDC103 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE TOTAL MARKS Minal Yerramshetty , Priya Joshi Minal Yerramshetty 100 CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Instilling the ability of the students to critically understand the process of creating planning as a technical profession. CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Making students aware the possibilities as well as limitations of different planning approaches CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) through case studies. Ability of students to use an appropriate planning technique/approach based on a particular vision or goal. CO3 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES

CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	CO AVERAGE
CO1	3	0	3	0	1				2.33
CO2	3	3	2	2	1				2.20
CO3	2	3	3	3	2				2.60
PO AVERAGE	2.67	3.00	2.67	2.50	1.33			0.00	

Conclusion and Resolution The course tries to provide a critical understanding of the various approaches to planning today as well as historically

CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) NO CORRELATION



	DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS												
TOOLS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS							
		10-29											
SEE	SEE IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO		30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	30							
					TARGET								
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	35							
					TARGET	00							

PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS											
COURSE OUTCOMES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5						
INTERNAL MARKS	45	40	60	0	0						
SEE	55	60	40	0	0						
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100						
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY	0	0	0	0	0						


DEDOCATA OF MEIOUTA OF OFT FOR THE ADDROCKANT TOOL O

3

0


WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT
ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %
ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %


		COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS					
CO Corrective Measures	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO TARGET	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CEFB	SEE	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	CO NO
	Yes	2	2.1	-	3	1	CO1
	Yes	2	2.20	-	3	1	CO2
Need to introduce more in-class exercise	No	2.2	1.80	-	3	1	CO3



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR	M-ARCH UD							
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018								
SEMESTER	SEM 1								
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)							
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Theory and M	ethods of Urba	ın Design						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC102								
			СОРО	Mapping					
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO4	PO5	PO6	P07	PO8		
CO1	3	2	PO3 0	2	1	1 00	107	1 00	
CO2	3	2	1	2	1				
CO3	3	1	3	2	2				
		-		_	_				
			CO Att	ainments					
CO. No	CO. No CO STATEMENTS				cc	CORRECTIV	'E MEASURE	S	
CO1	Develop an understanding of reading and representing cities through various urban theories								
Familiarize the students with the influential urban theories and explore critically the imperatives that have caused a situation, their interrelationships, and spheres of influence in the making of the city.				2.00					
CO2 Critically assess and analyze important urban design theories, build and present basic arguments, and apply fundamental conceptual frameworks for urban design arguments.				2.00					
			0	DO 444-1					
DO4 Attainment				PO Attainmer		ant		2.00	
PO1 Attainment PO2 Attainment			2.00 2.00		PO5 Attainn	2.00 0.00			
PO2 Attainment			2.00		PO7 Attainn	0.00			
PO4 Attainment			2.00		PO8 Attainn			0.00	


USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER Only Sessionals (Internal) Theory and Methods of Urban Design MUDC102 EXAMINATION SCHEME COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Manoj Parmar TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Develop an understanding of reading and representing cities through various urban theories CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Familiarize the students with the influential urban theories and explore critically the imperatives that have caused a situation, their interrelationships, and spheres of influence in the making of the city. CO2 L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) Critically assess and analyze important urban design theories, build and present basic arguments, and apply fundamental conceptual frameworks for urban design arguments CO3 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES PO5 CO. No PO1 PO2 PO3 PO8 CO AVERAGE PO4 PO6 PO7 2.00 1.80 CO1 CO3 2.20 1.33 PO AVERAGE 2.00 0.00 Students will be able to critically review and interpret key urban design theories, construct and present basic arguments through frameworks Conclusion and Resolution






	DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS									
	TOOLS				LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS	
INTER	RNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THA	AN OR EQUAL T	0	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	65	
	PERCE	ENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	TOOLS					
	COURSE OUTCO	MES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT		
INTERNAL MAR	KS		100	100	100			ALWAYS EI	NSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %	
DIRECT METHO	D		100	100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		
COURSE EXIT F	EEDBACK SURVEY	0	0	0	0	0	ALWATSE	NSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		

	COURSE OUTCOME A	TTAINMENT	LEVELS				
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	SEE CEFB		CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	2		<u>-</u>		2	Yes	
CO2	2		-		2.5	No	Exploration of detailed and diverse case examples with help in analysing and understanding urban theories better
CO3	2	-		2.00	2	Yes	




DDOCDAM	FIRST YEAR I	MARCHIR						
PROGRAM ACADEMIC	FIRST TEAR I	VI-ARCH UD						
YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 1							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	ıls (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Compulsory E	lectives -1						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE101							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	0	0	1	3	1			
CO2	3	3	3	3	1			
CO3	2	2	2	3	0			
CO4	3	2	1	3	2			
CO5	1	2	3	3	3			
			CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	тѕ		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	E MEASURE	S
CO1	The students vigeospatial plat Bhuvan, USGS	forms such as		3.00				
CO2	Enable studen different vector primary as wel	rs of urban livir	ng using .	3.00				
CO3	Equip students and knowledge to infer urban of	e to analyse th	e sourced data	3.00				
CO4	Enable studen studio site to a			3.00				
CO5	Understand the ethical implical methods of res	tions of using o	tions and data centric	3.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	its			
PO1 Attainment			3.00		PO5 Attainment			
PO2 Attainment			3.00		PO6 Attainment			0.00
PO3 Attainment			3.00		PO7 Attainment			0.00
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainn	0.00		

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Compulsory Electives -1 MUDE101 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) Aneerudha Paul FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) The students will learn to work with various geospatial platforms such as QGIS, Bhuvan, USGS, OSM. CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Enable students to design methods to map different vectors of urban living using primary as well as secondary data. CO2 L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) Equip students with the necessary skills and knowledge to analyse the sourced data to infer CO3 L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) urban design implications. CO4 Enable students to identify hotspots in the studio site to aid their site visits. L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) Understand the scope, limitations and ethical implications of using data centric methods of research CO₅ L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No PO3 PO5 PO6 PO7 PO8 CO AVERAGE 1.67 CO2 2.60 CO5 2.40 Conclusion and Resolution Orient students to structured and objective methods of organising knowledge and data about cities CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS 1 SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE NO CORRELATION P06 PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 ■ CO3 ■ CO4 ■ CO5 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS TARGET MARKS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE 70 PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS COURSE OUTCOMES WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT CO3 CO5 CO1 CO2 CO4 INTERNAL MARKS 100 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY 0 COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS TARGET ACHIEVED ? FINAL CO ATTAINME NT CO Corrective Measures ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL) CO TARGET CO NO SEE CEFB Yes Yes Yes CO1 Difficulty level has to increased. CO2 3.00 CO4 3.00




PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD							
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018								
SEMESTER	SEM 1								
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	ls (Internal)							
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Compulsory E	lectives -2							
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE102								
			COPO	Mapping					
			COFO	wapping					
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	
CO1	2	3	1	1	3				
CO2	3	3	3	1	3				
CO3	3	3	3	1	3				
			CO Att	tainments					
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	тѕ		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:S	
CO1	Students will le and understan together, as a class, in a sem	d academic lit	erature	3.00					
CO2	Students will le done, through in-class discussions.			3.00					
CO3	Students will le essays, based proper citation referencing, ar writing style.	on their readi s,	ngs, with	3.00					
003	writing style.			3.00					
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	its				
PO1 Attainmen			3.00		PO5 Attainn	nent		3.00	
PO2 Attainmen					PO6 Attainment			0.00	
PO3 Attainmen			3.00		PO7 Attainment			0.00	
PO4 Attainmen	t		3.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00	

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Compulsory Electives -2 MUDE102 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY INCHARGE Sheema Fatima TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Students will learn to read aloud, discuss, and understand academic literature together, as a class, in a seminar-like class environment. CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will learn how theorization is done, through group-reading sessions and in-class discussions. CO2 L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) Students will learn how to write short essays, based on their readings, with proper citations, referencing, and an academically sound writing style. CO3 L6 - Create (Produce new or original work) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES PO5 CO. No PO1 PO2 PO8 CO AVERAGE PO3 PO4 PO6 PO7 2.00 2.60 CO1 CO3 2.60 PO AVERAGE 2.33 1.00 3.00 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution More reading and theoretical understanding can help bridge the gap between CO and PO. CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION



DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS								
TOOLS				LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS
	INTERNAL MARKS							
INTERNAL MARKS	N OR EQUAL T	0	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	70	
PERCE	ENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	OOLS				
COURSE OUTCO	MES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CAN	BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT
INTERNAL MARKS	100	100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY	0	0	0	0	0	ALWATSE	TOTAL IS 100 %	

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	3	-		3.00	2.5	Yes	
CO2	3	-		3.00	2.5	Yes	
CO3	3	-		3.00	2.5	Yes	



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR	M-ARCH UD								
ACADEMIC		,								
YEAR	2017-2018									
SEMESTER	SEM 1									
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)								
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Landscape De	sign and Urba	n Ecology							
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDS101									
			СОРО	Mapping						
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8		
CO1	3	0	0	2	0					
CO2	3	2	2	3	3					
CO3	3	1	2	3	3					
			CO Att	tainments						
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	CC	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:S		
CO1	Students will untheoretical framecological plan	mework and m		3.00						
CO2	Students will lo methods to an and infrastruct their own cont	alyse contemp ure planning d		3.00						
CO3	3. Students evaluate frame employed for t management of	he conservation	gories on and	3.00						
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	its					
PO1 Attainment			3.00		PO5 Attainn	nent		3.00		
PO2 Attainment			3.00		PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00		
PO3 Attainment			3.00		PO7 Attainment			0.00		
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00		


USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER Only Sessionals (Internal) Landscape Design and Urban Ecology MUDS101 EXAMINATION SCHEME COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Shweta Wagh Shweta Wagh TOTAL MARKS 100 CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students will understand the context, theoretical framework and methods of ecological planning. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will learn to apply ecological methods to analyse contemporary urban and infrastructure planning development in their own contexts . CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) Students will learn to critically evaluate frameworks or categories employed for the conservation and management of landscapes. CO3 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No PO1 PO2 PO4 PO5 PO8 CO AVERAGE PO3 PO6 PO7 CO1 CO3 2.40 2.00 PO AVERAGE 3.00 1.50 2.67 3.00 0.00 w framworks and the nature of assignment is challenging Conclusion and Resolution CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS 1 SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION

0											O CORRELATION		
	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PC	16	PO7					
			■ co	1 CO2	CO3								
	DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS S									E TARGET MARKS			
	TOOLS						LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS		
						_							
	INTERNAL MA	RKS	IF	GREATER THAN	OR EQUAL TO	0	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	66		
PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS													
	COURSE OUTCOMES				CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CA	IN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT		
INTERNA	INTERNAL MARKS				100	100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %			

DIRECT METHOD
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	
CO1	3			3.00	2.5	Yes	
CO2	3		-		3	Yes	
CO3	3		-	3.00	3	Yes	

ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %




PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 1							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Design Studio	- I						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDS102							
			COPO	Mapping				
			33.3	appg				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	0	0	2	3			
CO2	3	0	0	2	3			
CO3	3	0	0	2	3			
CO4	3	1	1	3	3			
			CO Att	ainments	1			
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	E MEASURE	s
CO1	Objectivity in c representation		and	2.00				
CO2	Intensive map contemporary challenges.	ping and data urban and dev	collection on relopmental	2.00				
CO3	Engaging with analysis througurban realm.			2.00				
CO4	Explore and in techniques of complex urbar	representation	rnative for these	2.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainment			2.00		PO5 Attainm	nent		2.00
PO2 Attainment			2.00		PO6 Attainm	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			2.00		PO7 Attainm			0.00
PO4 Attainment			2.00		PO8 Attainm	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Design Studio - I MUDS102 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE TOTAL MARKS Aditya Sawant, Ritu Mohanty, Rohan Shivkumar, Jasmine Saluja Rohan Shivkumar CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Objectivity in data collection and representation. CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) Intensive mapping and data collection on contemporary urban and developmental challenges CO3 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) Engaging with a morphological survey / analysis through detailed studies of the urban realm. Explore and innovate on alternative techniques of representation for these complex urban conditions. CO4 L6 - Create (Produce new or original work)

	MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES								
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	CO AVERAGE
CO1	3	0	0	2	3				2.67
CO2	3	0	0	2	3				2.67
CO3	3	0	0	2	3				2.67
CO4	3	1	1	3	3				2.20
PO AVERAGE	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.25	3.00			0.00	


This is a course that focuses mainly on mapping and representation and introducing the students to the city of Mumbai. The propositional aspects of the studio are nont very important. Conclusion and Resolution

CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS										
1	SLIGHT (LOW)									
2	MODERATE (MEDIUM)									
3	SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH)									
0	NO CORRELATION									



TOOLS				LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS	
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THA	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	320			
PERCE	NTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	OOLS					
COURSE OUTCOM	MES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CAN	I BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT	
INTERNAL MARKS		100	100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		
DIRECT METHOD		100	100	100	100	100	ALMANO ENGURE THE TOTAL ID 400 %		
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY		0	0	0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	2	-		2.00	2	Yes	
CO2	2			2.00	2.5	No	The methods of mapping need to be developed further
CO3	2	-		2.00	2	Yes	
CO4	2			2.00	2	Yes	




PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (In	ternal) + Theor	y (Exam)					
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Planning Tech	niques and Pro	ocedure - II					
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC201							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	
CO1	3	0	PO3 3	0	1			
CO2	3	3	2	2	1			
CO3	2	3	3	3	2			
			_	-				
	I		CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	тѕ		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	CO CORRECTIVE MEASURES			
CO1			ess of creating	1.90				
CO2	Making studer well as limitation approaches the	ons of different	planning	1.80	Need to intro	oduce more c	ase studies	in the cours
CO3	Ability of stude planning techr particular visio	nique/approach		2.20				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	nts			
PO1 Attainment			1.94		PO5 Attainn	nent		2.03
PO2 Attainment			2.00		PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			1.99		PO7 Attainment			0.00
PO4 Attainment			2.04		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + Theory (Exam) Planning Techniques and Procedure - II MUDC201 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE TOTAL MARKS Minal Yerramshetty , Priya Joshi Minal Yerramshetty 100 CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Instilling the ability of the students to critically understand the process of creating planning as a technical profession. CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Making students aware the possibilities as well as limitations of different planning approaches CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) through case studies. Ability of students to use an appropriate planning technique/approach based on a particular vision or goal. CO3 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision)

MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES											
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	CO AVERAGE		
CO1	3	0	3	0	1				2.33		
CO2	3	3	2	2	1				2.20		
CO3	2	3	3	3	2				2.60		
PO AVERAGE	2.67	3.00	2.67	2.50	1.33			0.00			

Conclusion and Resolution The course tries to provide a critical understanding of the various approaches to planning today as well as historically

CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS								
1 SLIGHT (LOW)								
2 MODERATE (MEDIUM)								
3	SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH)							
0	NO CORRELATION							



DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS												
TOOLS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	TARGET MARKS							
SEE IF GREA	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	30						
					TARGET							
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	33						
					TARGET							


PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS											
COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO3 CO4 CO5												
INTERNAL MARKS	45	40	60	70	50							
SEE	55	60	40	30	50							
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100							
COURSE EVIT EEEDBACK SUBVEY		0	0	0	0							

WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT
ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %
ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

	COURSE OUTCOME A	ATTAINMENT	LEVELS				
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Cor
CO1	3	1	-	1.9	1.5	Yes	
CO2	3	1	-	1.80	2	No	
CO3	3	1	-	2.20	2	Yes	

Need to introduce more case studies in the course work

rrective Measures



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (In	ternal) + Theoi	y (Exam)					
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Transportation	& Traffic for U	rban Design					
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC202							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	2	1	2	3	0			1.00
CO2	0	3	2	2	2			
CO3	2	1	3	2	1			
	_	•		<u> </u>	•			
			CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	тѕ		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:S
CO1	Students will d transportation to urban desig			2.45				
CO2	public infrastru	dentify opportu acture which is vehicular depe		2.60				
соз	the studio que manner correla		e granular concepts like	2.60				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	nts			
PO1 Attainment			2.53		PO5 Attainn	nent		2.60
PO2 Attainment			2.57		PO6 Attainment			
PO3 Attainment			2.56		PO7 Attainment			
PO4 Attainment			2.54		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00


USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + Theory (Exam) Transportation & Traffic for Urban Design MUDC202 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE TOTAL MARKS A. Ghangurde A. Ghangurde CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Students will develop an understanding of transportation planning with specific focus to urban design CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will identify opportunities to create public infrastructure which is more human oriented than vehicular dependent CO2 L6 - Create (Produce new or original work) Students will organise their responses to the studio questions in a more granular manner correlate qualitative concepts like quality of life with infrastructure CO3 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES

CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	CO AVERAGE
CO1	2	1	2	3	0				2.00
CO2	0	3	2	2	2				2.25
CO3	2	1	3	2	1				1.80
PO AVERAGE	2.00	1.67	2.33	2.33	1.50			0.00	

Conclusion and Resolution The course aligns with the understanding and application of transport as infrastructure in the urban realm.

CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH)

NO CORRELATION



DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS												
TOOLS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	TARGET MARKS							
SEE	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	32						
					TARGET	· ·						
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	35						
				1	TARGET							


	PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS																
	COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO3 CO4 CO5																
INT	TERNAL MARKS	45	60	60													
SE	E	55	40	40													
DIF	RECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100											
CO	NIDGE EVIT EEEDBACK GIIDVEV	Λ.	Λ.	0	0	0											

2 3

0


WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS							
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	3	2	-	2.45	2.2	Yes	
CO2	3	2	-	2.60	2.5	Yes	
CO3	3	2	-	2.60	2.5	Yes	



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Choice-based	Elective 1						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE201							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	1	2	2	3			
CO2	3	1	3	2	3			
			CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	E MEASURE	S
CO1		e able to situat anning historica /.		3.00				
CO2	debate the so	be able to consicial role of planion of planning in the period	ning and the	3.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	its			
PO1 Attainment			3.00		PO5 Attainm	nent		3.00
PO2 Attainment			3.00		PO6 Attainm	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment	nment 3.0				PO7 Attainment			0.00
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainment			0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Choice-based Elective 1 MUDE201 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Hussain Indorewala Hussain Indorewala TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students will be able to situate the concepts of planning historically and geographically. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will be able to consider and debate the social role of planning and the performance of CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No CO AVERAGE PO1 PO7 PO8 PO2 PO4 PO5 PO3 PO6 CO2 2.40 PO AVERAGE 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution Trial text CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO5 CO3 CO4 0 INTERNAL MARKS DIRECT METHOD 100 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS FINAL CO ATTAINME NT 3.00 TARGET ACHIEVED ? ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL) CO Corrective Measures CO TARGET CO NO SEE CFFR



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Research Met	hod						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC203							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	2	1	1	3			
CO2	3	3	2	0	1			
			CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	CO CORRECTIVE MEASURES			S
CO1		how a way of translates into		3.00				
CO2	Developing a caround the urb	critical inquiry o oan	f issues	3.00				
				PO Attainmen				
PO1 Attainment			3.00		PO5 Attainm			3.00
PO2 Attainment			3.00		PO6 Attainm	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			3.00		PO7 Attainm			0.00
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainm	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Research Method MUDC203 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY Rohit Mujumdar, Sheema Fatima, Sarah George TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Understanding how a way of interpreting a set of readings translates into research L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) CO2 Developing a critical inquiry of issues around the urban L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No CO AVERAGE PO8 PO1 PO2 PO4 PO5 PO7 PO3 PO6 CO2 2.25 PO AVERAGE 3.00 2.50 1.50 1.00 2.00 0.00 The course should have a dual purpose of not only assisting the students towards understanding the methods of research in exploring the urban field and articulating their concern but also to structure the synopsis of their thesis. Conclusion and Resolution CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 50 CO2 55 CO3 CO4 CO5 INTERNAL MARKS DIRECT METHOD 100 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS FINAL CO ATTAINME NT TARGET ACHIEVED ?

CO TARGET

CO Corrective Measures


ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)

SEE

CFFR

3.00


CO NO



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC	11101127411							
YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	ils (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Choice-based	Elective 2						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE202							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	
CO1	3	3	3	1	3			
CO2	3	3	2	1	3			
			CO Att	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	TS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	S
CO1		structure critic erved urban phe		3.00				
CO2	for their thesis	mulate research , where they ind mena that have our cities.	dependently	3.00				
								_
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	its			
PO1 Attainment			3.00		PO5 Attainm	nent		3.00
PO2 Attainment			3.00		PO6 Attainm	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			3.00		PO7 Attainment			0.00
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainm	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Choice-based Elective 2 MUDE202 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY INCHARGE Aneerudha Paul Aneerudha Paul TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students can structure critical arguments regarding observed urban phenomena. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) They can formulate research objectives for their thesis, where they independently explore CO2 L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) phenomena that have spatial implications in our cities MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES 02 P03 P04 P05 P06 P0 CO. No CO AVERAGE PO1 PO2 PO8 PO7 CO2 2.40 Conclusion and Resolution The course outcome is highly aligned to the program objectives CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL NO CORRELATION PO5 P06 PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 30-59 60-89 10-29 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET 68 PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS COURSE OUTCOMES CO4 CO5 WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT CO2 CO1 CO3 INTERNAL MARKS 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % DIRECT METHOD COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % 0

	COURSE OUTCOME A	TTAINMENT	LEVELS				
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	3		•	3.00	2.5	Yes	Difficulty level has to be increased.
CO2	3		-		2.5	Yes	Difficulty level has to be increased.
	-						



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	ıls (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Design Studio							
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDS201							
			COPO	Mapping				
				шарршэ				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	2	2	1	2			
CO2	2	2	2	2	2			
CO3	2	1	3	2	2			
CO4	2	2	3	2	2			
			CO At	tainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	TS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:S
CO1	Objectivity in dassessment.	lata collection	and	2.00				
CO2	Devise pragma programmatic issus.	atic and localiz strategies on o	red complex urban	2.00				
CO3	The outcome i orientation to t	s imagined as he studio.	a practice	2.00				
CO4	Learn to formupossibilities the continuous into these actors a	rough a proces eraction with		2.00				
				PO Attainmen				
PO1 Attainment			2.00 2.00		PO5 Attainn			2.00
PO2 Attainment				PO6 Attainn			0.00	
PO3 Attainment			2.00		PO7 Attainn			0.00
PO4 Attainment			2.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00


USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Design Studio II MUDS201 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Manoj, Paul Manoj noj TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Objectivity in data collection and assessment. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) CO2 Devise pragmatic and localized programmatic strategies on complex urban issus. L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) CO3 The outcome is imagined as a practice orientation to the studio. L6 - Create (Produce new or original work) Learn to formulate urban intervention possibilities through a process of continuous interaction with these actors and agencies. CO4 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO AVERAGE CO. No PO1 PO2 PO3 PO4 PO5 P06 PO7 PO8 CO1 2.00 CO3 2.00 2.20 PO AVERAGE 2.25 1.75 2.50 1.75 2.00 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution The synthesis of all the subjects in the design studio is helpful to the learner. **CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS** SLIGHT (LOW) 1 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 3 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SURSTANTIAL MODERATE P06 POS ■ CO1 ■ CO2 ■ CO3 ■ CO4 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELOWED TO OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MAD

	DEFI	NED AT TAINWENT	LEVEL	5 W.K.I % OF	SIUDENIS	COKING INI	E IARGEI WARRS	
TOOLS				LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO		QUAL TO 10		30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	300
PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS								
COURSE OUTCOMES COA COA COA COA					005	MEIGHTA OF CAR	LDE DECIDED AS DED SUDJECT	

PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNTT	OOLS			
COURSE OUTCOMES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEI
INTERNAL MARKS	100	100	100	100	100	
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100	
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY	0	0	0	0	0	


WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

	COURSE OUTCOME A	TTAINMENT	LEVELS				
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	2		-		2	Yes	
CO2	2			2.00	2	Yes	
CO3	2			2.00	2	Yes	
CO4	2		-		2	Yes	



PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR B-ARCH						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 2							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Choice-based	Elective 1						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE201							
			COPO	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	1	2	2	3			
CO2	3	1	3	2	3			
			CO Atta	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:S
CO1		e able to situat storically and ge	e the concepts eographically.	3.00				
CO2	the social role	of planning and of planning in the	der and debate d the e	3.00				
			Course-level I	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainment		3.00			PO5 Attainn	nent		3.00
PO2 Attainment			3.00		PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			3.00		PO7 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS SECOND YEAR B-ARCH PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Choice-based Elective 1 MUDE201 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Hussain Indorewala Hussain Indorewala TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students will be able to situate the concepts of planning historically and geographically. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will be able to consider and debate the social role of planning and the performance of CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No CO AVERAGE PO1 PO7 PO8 PO2 PO4 PO5 PO3 PO6 CO2 2.40 PO AVERAGE 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution Trial text CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO5 CO3 CO4 0 INTERNAL MARKS DIRECT METHOD 100 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS FINAL CO ATTAINME NT 3.00 TARGET ACHIEVED ? ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL) CO Corrective Measures CO TARGET CO NO SEE CFFR



PO ATTAINMENT SUMMARY (2017-18)

PO Name	PO Statemen	t			Attainment Value	PO Correc	tive Measur	res	
PO1	To acquire the	ability to criticate	ally understand	the context	2.51	Emphasis of through GIS	on mapping t S	the context	
PO2	To be able to r	ecommend rea	al and speculativ	e urban	2.51	More exposure to Case studies			
PO3	To be able to v	validate urban i	h theoretical	2.51	Encourage more reading and writing assignments				
PO4	To be able to respective st		nical competer	ncy for the	2.51	Give suffici	ent time for i work	ndividual	
PO5	To undertake knowledge	research for	production of r	new	2.29	difference b	earner aware between data duction of n	a collection	

PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 3							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (In	ternal) + Theor	y (Exam)					
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Development I	Finance						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC301							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	2	2	1	2			
CO2	3	3	3	1	2			
			CO Atta	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	со	CORRECTIV	E MEASURE	s
CO1		acquire an und e plays in urba	derstanding of n development.	2.45	Use more rea	al life exampl	es for more	practical app
	various financi	ne equipped wit ial innovations or urban develo rice delivery.	deployed in					
CO2				2.60				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainment			2.53		PO5 Attainm	ent		2.53
PO2 Attainment		2.54			PO6 Attainm	ent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			2.54		PO7 Attainm			0.00
PO4 Attainment			2.53		PO8 Attainm	ent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + Theory (Exam) COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) Development Finance MUDC301 FACULTY Ashutosh Limave Ashutosh Limaye TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students shall acquire an understanding of the role finance plays in urban development. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will be equipped with assessing various financial innovations deployed in recent times for urban development and municipal service delivery. CO2 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES 02 P03 P04 P05 P06 P0 CO. No CO AVERAGE PO1 PO8 PO2 PO7 CO2 2.40 PO AVERAGE 3.00 2.50 2.50 1.00 2.00 0.00 The subject is difficult and new to students of architecture and urban design. Its their first exposure to the subject and they have to grapple with new and difficult Conclusion and Resolution concepts CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET SEE IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 27 INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET 30

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	2	3	-	2.45	2.5	No	Use more real life examples for more practical application of the course
CO2	2	3	-	2.60	2.5	Yes	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

CO4

100

CO5

100

CO3

100

WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT

ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS

CO1

55 45

100


CO2 40 60

100

COURSE OUTCOMES

INTERNAL MARKS

DIRECT METHOD
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY



PROGRAM	FIRST YEAR I	M-ARCH UD						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 3							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (In	ternal) + Theor	y (Exam)					
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Urban Byelaw	s & Planning Le	egislation					
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC302							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	P07	PO8
CO1	3	2	2	3	0			
CO2	3	2	3	3	0			
CO3	3	2	3	2	1			
			CO Atta	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	TS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	'E MEASURE	:S
CO1	understanding planning legisl	levelop a thorou of governance ations and insti dia and how dif	, urban policy, tutions	2.45				
CO2	They will deve concepts like (the importance of informing urba	lop an understa City Liveability I gender and oth n legislations ir lobal understan	ndex, SDGs, her variables in h India with a	2.45				
CO3	understanding urban Acts,	vill be equipped of implications olicies in design	of different	2.60				
			p					
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainmen	2.50			PO5 Attainn	nent		2.60	
PO2 Attainmen	t 2.50				PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainmen	t		2.51		PO7 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO4 Attainmen	t		2.49		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00


USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS FIRST YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + Theory (Exam) Urban Byelaws & Planning Legislation MUDC302 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Minal Yeramshetty, Malini Rajalaxmi Minal Yeramshetty 100 TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Students will develop a thorough understanding of governance, urban policy, planning legislations and institutions operative in India and how different sectors work. CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) They will develop an understanding of new concepts like City Liveability Index. SDGs, the importance of gender and other variables in informing urban legislations in India with a comparative global understanding. CO2 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) The students will be equipped with an understanding of implications of different urban Acts, reforms and policies in design and practice. CO3 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES

CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	CO AVERAGE
CO1	3	2	2	3	0				2.50
CO2	3	2	3	3	0				2.75
CO3	3	2	3	2	1				2.20
PO AVERAGE	3.00	2.00	2.67	2.67	1.00			0.00	

Conclusion and Resolution The course equips the students with the knowledge for practical application and understanding of legislations and byelaws in the urban realm.

CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH)

NO CORRELATION



DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS											
TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS											
SEE	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	30					
					TARGET						
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	30					
					TARGET	00					


PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	OOLS		
COURSE OUTCOMES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5
INTERNAL MARKS	45	45	60	0	0
SEE	55	55	40	0	0
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100
COURSE EVIT EEEDBACK SUBVEY			0	0	0

2 3

0

WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %

	COURSE OUTCOME A	TTAINMENT	LEVELS				
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	3	2	-	2.45	2.2	Yes	
CO2	3	2	-	2.45	2.2	Yes	
CO3	3	2	-	2.60	2.5	Yes	




PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR M-ARCH UI	D					
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 3							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Choice-based	I Elective 2						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE302							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	1	0	2	1			
CO2	2	1	1	2	1			
				-				
			CO Atta	ainments	1			
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	'E MEASURE	s
CO1	in India and th	rspective of ho e role of the St e sector in the	ate , the NGOs	2.00				
CO2	form produced			2.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainment	ts			
PO1 Attainmen			2.00		PO5 Attainn	nent		2.00
PO2 Attainmen	1		2.00		PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainmen	t		2.00		PO7 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO4 Attainmen	t		2.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS SECOND YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Choice-based Elective 2 MUDE302 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY Namrata Kapoor Namrata Kapoor TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) A historical perspective of housing delivery in India and the role of the State , the NGOs and the private sector in the production of housing. CO1 L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) An understanding of the nature of the built-form produced and its relationship with the institutional and ideological structures of the actors involved. CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No CO AVERAGE PO1 PO2 PO4 PO5 PO6 PO7 PO8 PO3 CO2 PO AVERAGE 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution tries to contextualize housing within larger forces that shape urban development and helps provide different perspectives that can enable interventions in the built urban e CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO5 CO3 CO4 0 WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % INTERNAL MARKS DIRECT METHOD 100 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS FINAL CO ATTAINME NT TARGET ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL) CO Corrective Measures CO TARGET CO NO SEE CEER ACHIEVED ?

2.00

CO2

More time should be dedicated for readings




PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR M-ARCH UE)					
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 3							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	ıls (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Urban Design	III						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDS301							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	2	2	2	2			
CO2	2	2	2	3	3			
CO3	2	1	3	2	2			
CO4	2	2	3	2	3			
	.		CO Atta	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	тѕ		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:s
CO1	assessment.	lata collection a		2.00				
CO2	Identify broad based on any	urban design p current/relevan	rinciples, t urban issues.	2.00				
CO3		e site approprians and projects		2.00				
CO4	Proficiency in for the given p		of place making	2.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainment 2.00					PO5 Attainn	nent		2.00
PO2 Attainment	ttainment 2.0				PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainment			2.00		PO7 Attainn			0.00
PO4 Attainment			2.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM SECOND YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Urban Design III MUDS301 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE ANIRUDHA PAUL | MANOJ PARMAR | SHWETA WAGH | KIRTIDA UNWALA | JASMINE SALUJA | KAMALICA BOSE Manoi anoj TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Objectivity in data collection and assessment. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) CO2 Identify broad urban design principles, based on any current/relevant urban issues. L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) CO3 Ability to frame site appropriate urban designprograms and projects. L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) CO4 Proficiency in the technique of place making for the given project. L6 - Create (Produce new or original work) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO AVERAGE CO. No PO1 PO2 PO3 PO4 PO5 PO6 PO7 PO8 CO1 CO3 2.00 2.40 PO AVERAGE 2.25 1.75 2.50 2.25 2.50 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution The design studio is the synthesis of all the subjects is to ascertain the assimilation of the various subjects taught. **CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS** SLIGHT (LOW) 1 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 3 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE NO CORRELATION P06 PO7 PO5 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 ■ CO3 ■ CO4

DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS										
TOOLS		LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3		TARGET MARKS				
INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE	288				
					TARGET					
					I					

PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	TOOLS			
COURSE OUTCOMES	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT
INTERNAL MARKS	100	100	0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %
DIRECT METHOD	100	100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY	0	0	0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %


	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	(INTERNAL) NT						CO Corrective Measures
CO1	2			2.00	2	Yes	
CO2	2			2.00	2	Yes	
CO3	2		•	2.00	1.8	Yes	
CO4	CO4 2 -						



PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR M-ARCH UE)					
ACADEMIC YEAR	2019-2020							
SEMESTER	SEM 3							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Thesis I							
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDC303							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	3	2	1	3			
CO2	3	3	2	1	3			
			CO Atta	ainments				
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	co	CORRECTIV	'E MEASURE	:S
CO1	and frame pos	ods to observe sibilities of inte rmation in a pa	, map, analyze rventions or rticular urban	2.00				
CO2	Writing a Thes	is Proposal		2.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainment			2.00		PO5 Attainm	nent		2.00
PO2 Attainment		2.00		PO6 Attainm	nent		0.00	
PO3 Attainment			2.00		PO7 Attainm	nent		0.00
PO4 Attainment			2.00		PO8 Attainm	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS SECOND YEAR M-ARCH UD PROGRAM ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Thesis I MUDC303 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY Manoj Parmar, Sheema Fatima, Aditya Sawant, Sarah George TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) Creating methods to observe, map, analyze and frame possibilities of interventions or initiate transformation in a particular urban condition CO1 CO2 Writing a Thesis Proposal L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES 02 P03 P04 P05 P06 P0 CO. No CO AVERAGE PO1 PO8 PO2 PO7 CO2 2.40 PO AVERAGE 1.00 3.00 0.00 In order to develop an analytical framework and the thesis question, it is important to be able to come up with the right methodological criteria. Conclusion and Resolution CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) 2 MODERATE (MEDIUM) 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 TARGET MARKS INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 65 CO2 CO5 CO3 CO4 0 INTERNAL MARKS DIRECT METHOD 100 100 100 100 100 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS FINAL CO ATTAINME NT TARGET ACHIEVED ? ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL) CO Corrective Measures CO TARGET CO NO SEE CFFR

2.00




PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR M-ARCH U)					
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018							
SEMESTER	SEM 4							
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	als (Internal)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Choice-based	Elective 1						
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE401							
			СОРО	Mapping				
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8
CO1	3	1	3	2	2			
CO2	2	1	3	2	2			
CO3	2	2	3	2	3			
	,		CO Atta	ainments	1			
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	cc	CORRECTIV	/E MEASURE	:S
CO1	approaches or	paradigms of	·	3.00				
CO2		e able to critica urban planning		3.00				
CO3		ne able to unde nalysis of plans		3.00				
			Course-level	PO Attainmen	ts			
PO1 Attainmen	PO1 Attainment 3.00				PO5 Attainn	nent		3.00
PO2 Attainmen	t		3.00		PO6 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO3 Attainmen	t		3.00		PO7 Attainn	nent		0.00
PO4 Attainmen	t		3.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM SECOND YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Choice-based Elective 1 MUDE401 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY FACULTY INCHARGE Hussain Indorewala Hussain Indorewala TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students will be able to compare the various approaches or paradigms of planning. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) CO2 Students will be able to critically reflect on the practice of urban planning in their own cities L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) CO3 Students will be able to undertake a comparative analysis of plans in their own city historically L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No PO1 PO2 PO3 PO6 PO8 CO AVERAGE PO4 PO5 PO7 2.20 CO1 CO3 2.40 PO AVERAGE 2.33 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution Corelation between green sustainable and conservation practice CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION NO CORRELATION PO3 P04 PO5 P06 PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 ■ CO3 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS


DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS											
TOOLS			LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	TARGET MARKS					
INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THA											
		N OR EQUAL TO	0	10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	65			
PERCE	NTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	OOLS							
COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2			CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT				
INTERNAL MARKS 100 100				0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %				
DIRECT METHOD 100			100	100	100	100	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %				
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY		0	0	0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %				

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	CO Corrective Measures	
CO1	3		-		2.5	Yes	
CO2	3			3.00	2.5	Yes	



PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR M-ARCH UI	D						
ACADEMIC	0047 0040								
YEAR	2017-2018								
SEMESTER	SEM 4								
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Only Sessiona	ils (Internal)							
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Choice-based	Elective 2							
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDE402								
			СОРО	Mapping					
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	
CO1	2	0	3	0	3	1	1		
CO2	3	1	3	3	2				
CO3	3	1	3	1	3				
			CO Atta	ainments					
CO. No	CO STATEMEN	ITS		FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	CO CORRECTIVE MEASURES				
CO1	Students will uspread of the gomeont.			3.00					
CO2	Students will u environmental spatial policy a	discources an	implications of d action on	3.00					
CO3	Students will u environmental spatial policy a	discources an	implications of d action on	3.00					
			Course-level	PO Attainmen				3.00	
PO1 Attainment			3.00			PO5 Attainment			
PO2 Attainment			3.00			PO6 Attainment			
PO3 Attainment			3.00		PO7 Attainment			0.00	
PO4 Attainment			3.00		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00	

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM SECOND YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Only Sessionals (Internal) Choice-based Elective 2 MUDE402 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY INCHARGE Shweta Wagh Shweta Wagh TOTAL MARKS 100 CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Students will understand the origin and spread of the global environmental movement. L2 - Understand (Explain ideas or concepts) Students will understand the implications of environmental discources and action on spatial policy CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) and planning Students will understand the implications of environmental discources and action on spatial policy CO3 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) and planning MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No PO1 PO2 PO5 PO8 CO AVERAGE PO3 PO4 PO6 PO7 2.67 2.40 CO1 CO3 2.20 PO AVERAGE 1.00 3.00 2.00 2.67 0.00 Conclusion and Resolution n elective theory course and therefore it has less corelation with the second program objective which emphasizes urban recommending real and speculative urban inter-CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 3 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH)




NO CORRELATION

TARGET MARKS

INTERNAL MARKS	IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO			10-29	30-59	60-89	% OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE TARGET	55	
							IARGEI		
PERCE	ENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET	FOR THE AS	SESSEMNT T	OOLS					
COURSE OUTCO	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5	WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT			
INTERNAL MARKS	100	100	0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %			
DIRECT METHOD		100	100	100	100	100			
COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY		0	0	0	0	0	ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 %		
		•	•			•			

	COURSE OUTCOME A						
CO NO	ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL)	SEE	CEFB	FINAL CO ATTAINME NT	CO TARGET	TARGET ACHIEVED ?	CO Corrective Measures
CO1	3	<u>-</u>		3.00	2	Yes	
CO2	3	-	-		2	Yes	
CO3	3	-		3.00	3	Yes	



PROGRAM	SECOND YEA	AR M-ARCH UI)						
ACADEMIC YEAR	2017-2018								
SEMESTER	SEM 4								
EXAMINATION SCHEME	Sessionals (In	ternal) + Exterr	nal (Jury)						
COURSE NAME (AS PER MU)	Thesis								
COURSE CODE (AS PER MU)	MUDS401								
			СОРО	Mapping					
CO. No	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	
CO1	1	1	2	2	3				
CO2	3	3	3	2	2				
CO3	3	2	2	2	2				
CO4	2	3	3	3	2				
CO5	1	2	3	3	2				
			CO Atta	ainments					
CO. No	CO STATEMEN			FINAL CO ATTAINMENT	CO CORRECTIVE MEASURES				
CO1		ally review and ure for producti		2.60					
CO2	one's own rea	lop proposition dings of the site ither real or spe	e and context to	2.55	Need to spend more time on the development of				
CO3		an propositions	d with an ability s through	2.60					
CO4		lents to proposation in the urba		2.45					
CO5	Develop methorepresentation	ods and skills f using innovati	or appropriate ve techniques.	2.55					
			Course-level						
PO1 Attainmen			2.55		PO5 Attainment			2.55	
PO2 Attainmen			2.54		PO6 Attainment			0.00	
PO3 Attainmen			2.54		PO7 Attainn			0.00	
PO4 Attainmen	t		2.54		PO8 Attainn	nent		0.00	

USM'S KAMLA RAHEJA VIDYANIDHI INSTITUTE FOR ARCHITECTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES MASTERS OF URBAN DESIGN COURSE OUTCOME AND PROGRAM OUTCOME ASSESSMENT COURSE DETAILS PROGRAM SECOND YEAR M-ARCH UD ACADEMIC YEAR SEMESTER EXAMINATION SCHEME Sessionals (Internal) + External (Jury) Thesis MUDS401 COURSE NAME (AS PER MU) COURSE CODE (AS PER MU) FACULTY Manoj Parmar, Aneerudha Paul, Kirtida Unnwala, Jamshid Bhiwandiwala, Rohit Muzumdar, Seema Fatima, Sarah George TOTAL MARKS CO. No. COURSE OUTCOME RBT (REVISED BLOOMS TAXONOMY) CO1 Ability to critically review and build on existing literature for production of new knowledge. L6 - Create (Produce new or original work) They will develop propositions based on one's own readings of the site and context to recommend either real or speculative interventions. CO2 L4 - Analyse (Draw connections among ideas) The students will be equipped with an ability to validate urban propositions through CO3 L5 - Evaluate (Justify a stand or decision) theoretical positions. CO4 Equip the students to propose instruments for implementation in the urban realm. L3 - Apply (Use information in new situations) CO₅ Develop methods and skills for appropriate representation using innovative techniques L6 - Create (Produce new or original work) MAPPING OF COURSE OUTCOMES AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CO. No PO5 PO6 PO8 CO AVERAGE CO2 2.60 CO5 2.20 Conclusion and Resolution The course prepares students present design propositions in the urban realm that are practical, innovative and validated through theoretical positions. CORRELATION LEVELS FOR POS 1 SLIGHT (LOW) MODERATE (MEDIUM) 2 SUSBTANTIAL (HIGH) 0 NO CORRELATION CO PO MAPPING SUBSTANTIAL MODERATE NO CORRELATION P06 PO7 ■ CO1 ■ CO2 ■ CO3 ■ CO4 ■ CO5 DEFINED ATTAINMENT LEVELS W.R.T % OF STUDENTS SCORING THE TARGET MARKS TOOLS TARGET MARKS LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3 IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 60-89 SEE 10-29 30-59 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE 330 INTERNAL MARKS IF GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 10-29 30-59 60-89 % OF STUDENTS ACHIEVE THE 180 PERCENTAGE WEIGHTAGE SET FOR THE ASSESSEMNT TOOLS COURSE OUTCOMES CO1 CO2 CO3 WEIGHTAGE CAN BE DECIDED AS PER SUBJECT CO4 INTERNAL MARKS SEE 55 45 60 55 60 45 ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % 55 DIRECT METHOD 100 100 100 100 100 COURSE EXIT FEEDBACK SURVEY ALWAYS ENSURE THE TOTAL IS 100 % COURSE OUTCOME ATTAINMENT LEVELS FINAL CO TARGET CO Corrective Measures ASSESSMENT (INTERNAL) CO TARGET ATTAINME NT 2.6 2.55 CO NO SEE CEER ACHIEVED ? CO1 2.6 Yes Need to spend more time on the development of the propositions CO3 2.60 2.5 Yes Yes Yes

